arXiv:0904.2138v1 [math.ST] 14 Apr 2009

Doubly noncentral singular matrix variate beta distributions

José A. Díaz-García *
Department of Statistics and Computation
25350 Buenavista, Saltillo, Coahuila, Mexico
E-mail: jadiaz@uaaan.mx

Ramón Gutiérrez Jáimez
Department of Statistics and O.R.
University of Granada
Granada 18071, Spain
E-mail: rgjaimez@ugr.es

Abstract

In this paper, we determine the density functions of doubly noncentral singular matrix variate beta type I and II distributions.

1 Introduction

Matrix variate beta type I and II distributions have been studied by many authors using different definitions in the nonsingular case, see Kshirsagar (1961), Constantine (1963), Olkin and Rubin (1964), James (1964), Srivastava (1968), Khatri (1970), Srivastava and Khatri (1979), Cadet (1996) and Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2007), among many others. Recently, these distributions have been studied in the singular cases by Uhlig (1994), Díaz-García and Gutiérrez (1997) and Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2008a). Beta type I and II distributions play a very important role in several areas of multivariate statistics, such as canonical correlation analysis, the general linear hypothesis in MANOVA and shape theory, see Muirhead (1982), Srivastava (1968) and Goodall and Mardia (1993).

In the nonsingular case in particular in the nonsingular case, the doubly noncentral density functions of matrix variate beta type I and II distributions have been studied by diverse authors, but with special emphasis on **symmetrised** density functions and their application to the theory of matrix variate distribution, the multivariate Behrens-Fisher problem and the generalised regression coefficient, see Chikuse (1980, 1981), Davis (1980), Chikuse and Davis (1986) and Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2008a); **?**.

Using Greenacre's definition of the symmetrised density function (Greenacre, 1973), in an inverse way, we obtain the doubly noncentral **nonsymmetrised** density functions or simply, the doubly noncentral density functions of the singular matrix variate beta type I and II distributions, see Section 3. Moreover, as particular cases we find the noncentral

---

*Corresponding author

Key words. Random matrices, noncentral distribution, matrix variate beta, singular distribution.
2000 Mathematical Subject Classification. 62E15, 15A52

density function of the singular matrix variate beta type I and II distributions, from where we resolve, indirectly, the integral proposed by Constantine (1963), discussed by Khatri (1970) and reconsidered in (Farrell, 1985, p. 191), see also Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2007), in singular and nonsingular cases.

2 Preliminary results

In this section we give some definitions and notations for the singular matrix variate beta type I and II distribution. We also include two results for the symmetrised function and invariant polynomials with matrix arguments.

2.1 Singular beta distributions

Consider the following definition and notation.

Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a non-negative definite $m\times m$; then $\mathbf{C}^{1/2}(\mathbf{C}^{1/2})' = \mathbf{C}$ is a reasonable nonsingular factorization of $\mathbf{C}$, and in particular $\mathbf{C}^{1/2}$ can be $m \times m$ upper-triangular matrix or an $m\times m$ non-negative definite square root, see Gupta and Nagar (2000), Srivastava and Khatri (1979) and Muirhead (1982).

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an $m \times m$ non-negative definite random matrix with Pseudo-Wishart distribution with r degrees of freedom and a symmetric matrix of parameters $\mathbf{\Sigma}$. We then state that $\mathbf{A} \sim \mathcal{PW}_m(r, \mathbf{\Sigma})$, $\mathrm{Re}(r) \leq (m-1)$. If $\mathrm{Re}(r) > (m-1)$ then $\mathbf{A}$ is said to have a Wishart distribution, with $\mathbf{A} \sim \mathcal{W}_m(s, \mathbf{\Sigma})$, see (Muirhead, 1982, p. 82), Uhlig (1994) and Díaz-García and Gutiérrez (1997).

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ be independent, where $\mathbf{A} \sim \mathcal{PW}_m(r, \mathbf{I})$ and $\mathbf{B} \sim \mathcal{W}_m(s, \mathbf{I})$. We define $\mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B})^{-1/2}\mathbf{A}((\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B})^{-1/2})'$. Then its density function is given and denoted as (see Díaz-García and Gutiérrez (1997))

$$\mathcal{B}I_m(\mathbf{U}; q, r/2, s/2) = c|\mathbf{L}|^{(r-m-1)/2}|\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{U}|^{(s-m-1)/2}(d\mathbf{U}), \quad \mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{U} < \mathbf{I}_m. \tag{1}$$

$\mathbf{U}$ is said to have a singular matrix variate beta type I distribution, and this is denoted as $\mathbf{U} \sim \mathcal{B}I_m(q, r/2, s/2)$, $\mathrm{Re}(s) > (m-1)$; where $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H}_1'$, with $\mathbf{H}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{q,m}$; $\mathcal{V}_{q,m} = \{\mathbf{H}_1 \in \Re^{m\times q} | \mathbf{H}_1'\mathbf{H}_1 = \mathbf{I}_q\}$ denotes the Stiefel manifold; $\mathbf{L} = \mathrm{diag}(l_1, \dots, l_q)$, $1 > l_1 > \cdots > l_q > 0$; $q = m$ (nonsingular case) or $q = r < m$ (singular case);

$$c = \frac{\pi^{(-mr+rq)/2}\Gamma_m[(r+s)/2]}{\Gamma_q[r/2]\Gamma_m[s/2]}. \tag{2}$$

$(d\mathbf{U})$ denotes the Hausdorff measure on $(mq - q(q-1)/2)$-dimensional manifold of rank-q positive semidefinite $m \times m$ matrices $\mathbf{U}$ with q distinct nonnull eigenvalues, given by (see Uhlig (1994) and Díaz-García and Gutiérrez (1997))

$$(d\mathbf{U}) = 2^{-q}\prod_{i=1}^{q} l_i^{m-q}\prod_{i<j}(l_i - l_j)\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{q} dl_i\right) \wedge (\mathbf{H}_1' d\mathbf{H}_1), \tag{3}$$

where $(\mathbf{H}_1' d\mathbf{H}_1)$ denotes the invariant measure on $\mathcal{V}_{q,m}$ and where $\Gamma_m[a]$ denotes the multivariate gamma function, this being defined as

$$\Gamma_m[a] = \int_{\mathbf{R}>0} \mathrm{etr}(-\mathbf{R})|\mathbf{R}|^{a-(m+1)/2}(d\mathbf{R}),$$

$\mathrm{Re}(a) > (m-1)/2$ and $\mathrm{etr}(\cdot) \equiv \exp(\mathrm{tr}(\cdot))$.

Similarly, we have:

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathbf{A} \sim \mathcal{PW}_m(r, \mathbf{I})$ and $\mathbf{B} \sim \mathcal{W}_m(s, \mathbf{I})$ be independent. Furthermore, let $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{B}^{-1/2}\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{B}^{-1/2})'$. $\mathbf{F}$ is then said to have a singular matrix variate beta type II distribution, denoted by $\mathbf{F} \sim \mathcal{B}II_m(q, r/2, s/2)$ and if $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{H}_1\mathbf{G}\mathbf{H}_1'$, with $\mathbf{H}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{q,m}$ and $\mathbf{G} = \operatorname{diag}(g_1, \dots, g_q)$; $g_1 > \cdots > g_q > 0$, its density function is given and denoted as (see Díaz-García and Gutiérrez (1997))

$$\mathcal{B}II_m(\mathbf{F}; q, r/2, s/2) = c|\mathbf{G}|^{(r-m-1)/2}|\mathbf{I}_m + \mathbf{F}|^{-(r+s)/2}(d\mathbf{F}), \quad \mathbf{F} \geq \mathbf{0}. \tag{4}$$

where c is given by (2), $\operatorname{Re}(s) > (m-1)$ and $(d\mathbf{F})$ is given in an analogous form to (3).

Let us now extend these ideas to the doubly noncentral case, i.e. when $\mathbf{A} \sim \mathcal{PW}_m(r, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{\Omega}_1)$ and $\mathbf{B} \sim \mathcal{W}_m(s, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{\Omega_2})$. In other words, $\mathbf{A}$ has a noncentral Pseudo-Wishart distribution with a matrix of noncentrality parameters $\mathbf{\Omega}_1$ and $\mathbf{B}$ has a noncentral Wishart distribution with a matrix of noncentrality parameters $\mathbf{\Omega}_2$, see Díaz-García *et al.* (1997). Subsequently, Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2008a) reported the following:

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that* $\mathbf{U}$ *has a doubly noncentral matrix singular variate beta type I, which is denoted as* $\mathbf{U} \sim \mathcal{B}I_m(q, r/2, s/2, \mathbf{\Omega}_1, \mathbf{\Omega}_2)$. *Then using the notation for the operator sum as in Davis (1980) its* ***symmetrised*** *density function is found to be*

$$\begin{aligned} dF_s(\mathbf{U}) = {} & \mathcal{B}I_m(\mathbf{U}; q, r/2, s/2) \operatorname{etr}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}(\mathbf{\Omega}_1 + \mathbf{\Omega}_2)\right) \\ & \times \sum_{\kappa,\lambda;\ \phi}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}(r+s)\right)_\phi}{\left(\frac{1}{2}r\right)_\kappa \left(\frac{1}{2}s\right)_\lambda k!\ l!} \frac{C_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_1, \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_2) C_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}(\mathbf{U}, (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{U}))}{C_\phi(\mathbf{I})} (d\mathbf{U}), \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{U} < \mathbf{I}$, $\operatorname{Re}(s) > (m-1)$, $(a)_\tau$ *is the generalised hypergeometric coefficient or product of Pochhammer symbols and* $C_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}(\cdot,\cdot)$ *denotes the invariant polynomials with matrix arguments defined in Davis (1980), see also Chikuse (1980) and Chikuse and Davis (1986).*

Moreover:

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that* $\mathbf{F} \geq 0$ *has a doubly noncentral singular matrix variate beta type II, which is denoted as* $\mathbf{F} \sim \mathcal{B}II_m(q, r/2, s/2, \mathbf{\Omega}_1, \mathbf{\Omega}_2)$. *Then its* ***symmetrised*** *density function is*

$$\begin{aligned} dG_s(\mathbf{F}) = {} & \mathcal{B}II_m(\mathbf{F}; q, r/2, s/2) \operatorname{etr}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}(\mathbf{\Omega}_1 + \mathbf{\Omega}_2)\right) \\ & \times \sum_{\kappa,\lambda;\phi}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{1}{2}(r+s)_\phi}{\left(\frac{1}{2}r\right)_\kappa \left(\frac{1}{2}s\right)_\lambda k!\ l!} \frac{C_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_1, \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_2) C_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{F})^{-1}\mathbf{F}, (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{F})^{-1})}{C_\phi(\mathbf{I})} (d\mathbf{F}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{F} > \mathbf{0}$ *and* $\operatorname{Re}(s) > (m-1)$.

2.2 Symmetrised function and invariant polynomials with matrix arguments

Consider the follow extension of the definition given by Greenacre (1973), see also Roux (1975):

Definition 2.3. The symmetrised density function of the non-negative definite matrix $\mathbf{X} : m \times m$, which has a density function $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X})$, is defined as

$$f_s(\mathbf{X}) = \int_{\mathcal{O}(m)} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{H}')(d\mathbf{H}), \quad \mathbf{H} \in \mathcal{O}(m) \tag{5}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(m) = \{\mathbf{H} \in \Re^{m\times m} | (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}') = (\mathbf{H}'\mathbf{H}) = \mathbf{I}_m\}$ and $(d\mathbf{H})$ denotes the normalised invariant measure on $\mathcal{O}(m)$, then

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}(m)} (d\mathbf{H}) = 1$$

(Muirhead, 1982, p. 72).

Now consider the following theorem, which generalises eq. (5.4) of Davis (1980), and proof of which is given by Díaz-García (2006).

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $\mathbf{A}$*,* $\mathbf{B}$*,* $\mathbf{X}$ *and* $\mathbf{Y}$ *be* $m \times m$ *symmetric matrices, then we have*

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}(m)} C_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}'\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{H})(d\mathbf{H}) = \frac{C_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B})C_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y})}{\theta_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}C_\phi(\mathbf{I})}, \tag{6}$$

with

$$\theta_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda} = \frac{C_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}(\mathbf{I},\mathbf{I})}{C_\phi(\mathbf{I})}.$$

3 Doubly noncentral singular matrix variate beta distributions

Taking into account equation (6) it is now is possible to propose an expression for the (nonsymmetrised) density functions of doubly noncentral matrix variate beta type I and II distributions, applying the idea of Greenacre (1973) (see also Roux (1975)), but in an inverse way.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that* $\mathbf{U} \sim \mathcal{B}I_m(q, r/2, s/2, \mathbf{\Omega}_1, \mathbf{\Omega}_2)$*. Then its density function is*

$$\begin{aligned} dF_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{U}) = {} & \mathcal{B}I_m(\mathbf{U}; q, r/2, s/2) \operatorname{etr}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}(\mathbf{\Omega}_1 + \mathbf{\Omega}_2)\right) \\ & \times \sum_{\kappa,\lambda;\ \phi}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}(r+s)\right)_\phi\ \theta_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}r\right)_\kappa \left(\frac{1}{2}s\right)_\lambda k!\ l!} C_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_1\mathbf{U}, \tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_2(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{U})\right)(d\mathbf{U}) \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathbf{0} \le \mathbf{U} < \mathbf{I}$*,* $\operatorname{Re}(s) > (m-1)$*.*

Proof. First observe that $\mathcal{B}I_m(\mathbf{U}; q, r/2, s/2)$ is a symmetric function, then $\mathcal{B}I_m(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{H}'; q, r/2, s/2) = \mathcal{B}I_m(\mathbf{U}; q, r/2, s/2)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} dF_s(\mathbf{U}) = {} & \mathcal{B}I_m(\mathbf{U}; q, r/2, s/2) \operatorname{etr}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}(\mathbf{\Omega}_1 + \mathbf{\Omega}_2)\right) \\ & \times \sum_{\kappa,\lambda;\ \phi}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}(r+s)\right)_\phi}{\left(\frac{1}{2}r\right)_\kappa \left(\frac{1}{2}s\right)_\lambda k!\ l!} \int_{\mathcal{O}(m)} h(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{H}')(d\mathbf{H})(d\mathbf{U}), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

for a function h. By (6) observe that

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}(m)} C_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_1\mathbf{H}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{H}', \tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_2(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{H}')\right)(d\mathbf{H}) = \frac{C_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_1, \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_2)C_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}(\mathbf{U}, (\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{U}))}{\theta_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}C_\phi(\mathbf{I})}.$$

Then, by applying (5) in an inverse way, in (7) we have

$$h(\mathbf{U}) = \theta_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}\ C_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_1\mathbf{U}, \tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_2(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{U})\right),$$

from where the desired result is obtained. □

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that* $\mathbf{F} \sim \mathcal{B}II_m(q, r/2, s/2, \mathbf{\Omega}_1, \mathbf{\Omega}_2)$. *Then we find that its density function is*

$$\begin{aligned} dG_{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{F}) &= \mathcal{B}II_m(\mathbf{F}; q, r/2, s/2) \operatorname{etr}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}(\mathbf{\Omega}_1 + \mathbf{\Omega}_2)\right) \\ &\times \sum_{\kappa,\lambda;\phi}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{1}{2}(r+s)_\phi \ \theta_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}r\right)_\kappa \left(\frac{1}{2}s\right)_\lambda k! \ l!} C_\phi^{\kappa,\lambda}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_1(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{F})^{-1}\mathbf{F}, \tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_2(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{F})^{-1}\right)(d\mathbf{F}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{F} > \mathbf{0}$ *and* $\operatorname{Re}(s) > (m-1)$.

Proof. The proof is parallel to that given for Theorem 3.1. ☐

In the following two corollaries we shall obtain, as particular cases, the noncentral density functions of singular matrix variate beta type I(A),I(B), II(A) and II(B) distributions.

Corollary 3.1. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1:*

i) *If* $\mathbf{\Omega}_1 = \mathbf{0}$, *i.e.* $\mathbf{A} \sim \mathcal{PW}_m(r, \mathbf{I})$, *then we obtain the noncentral singular matrix variate beta type I(A) distribution denoted as*

$$\mathbf{U} \sim \mathcal{B}I(A)_m(q, r/2, s/2, \mathbf{\Omega}_2)$$

Its density function is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} dF_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{U}) &= \mathcal{B}I_m(\mathbf{U}; q, r/2, s/2) \operatorname{etr}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_2\right) \\ &\times {}_1F_1\left(\tfrac{1}{2}(r+s); \tfrac{1}{2}s; \tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_2(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{U})\right)(d\mathbf{U}) \end{aligned}$$

ii) *Alternatively, if* $\mathbf{\Omega}_2 = \mathbf{0}$, *i.e.* $\mathbf{B} \sim \mathcal{W}_m(s, \mathbf{I})$, *then we obtain the noncentral singular matrix variate beta type I(B) distribution denoted as* $\mathbf{U} \sim \mathcal{B}I(B)_m(q, r/2, s/2, \mathbf{\Omega}_1)$, *for which its density function is*

$$dF_{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{U}) = \mathcal{B}I_m(\mathbf{U}; q, r/2, s/2) \operatorname{etr}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_1\right) {}_1F_1\left(\tfrac{1}{2}(r+s); \tfrac{1}{2}s; \tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_1\mathbf{U}\right)(d\mathbf{U})$$

with $\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{U} < \mathbf{I}$, $\operatorname{Re}(s) > (m-1)$ *and where* ${}_1F_1(\cdot)$ *is the hypergeometric function with matrix arguments, see (Muirhead, 1982, definitions 7.3.1, p. 258).*

Proof. The density functions in two items are a consequence of the basic properties of invariant polynomials, see (Davis , 1979, equations (2.1) and (2.3)), see also (Chikuse , 1980, equations (3.3) and (3.6)). ☐

Corollary 3.2. *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.2:*

i) *if* $\mathbf{\Omega}_1 = \mathbf{0}$, *i.e.* $\mathbf{A} \sim \mathcal{PW}_m(r, \mathbf{I})$, *then we obtain the noncentral singular matrix variate beta type II(A) distribution denoted as*

$$\mathbf{F} \sim \mathcal{B}II(A)_m(q, r/2, s/2, \mathbf{\Omega}_2),$$

and its density function is

$$\begin{aligned} dG_{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{F}) &= \mathcal{B}II_m(\mathbf{F}; q, r/2, s/2) \operatorname{etr}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_2\right) \\ &\times {}_1F_1\left(\tfrac{1}{2}(r+s); \tfrac{1}{2}s; \tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_2(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{F})^{-1}\right)(d\mathbf{F}) \end{aligned}$$

ii) *if* $\mathbf{\Omega}_2 = \mathbf{0}$*, i.e.* $\mathbf{B} \sim \mathcal{W}_m(s, \mathbf{I})$*, then we obtain the noncentral singular matrix variate beta type II(B) distribution denoted as*

$$\mathbf{F} \sim \mathcal{B}II_1(B)_m(q, r/2, s/2, \mathbf{\Omega}_1),$$

for which its density function is

$$dG_{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{F}) = \mathcal{B}II_m(\mathbf{F}; q, r/2, s/2) \operatorname{etr}\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_1\right) \times {}_1F_1\left(\tfrac{1}{2}(r+s); \tfrac{1}{2}s; \tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_1(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{F})^{-1}\mathbf{F}\right)(d\mathbf{F})$$

with $\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{F}$ *and* $\operatorname{Re}(s > (m-1))$*.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to that given for Corollary 3.1. □

Conclusions

Chikuse (1980), Chikuse (1981) and Davis (1979) have found the symmetrised doubly noncentral density functions of the nonsingular matrix variate beta type I and II distributions. However, the question of nonsymmetrised density functions (or simply density functions) remained to be resolved. In this paper, by applying Greenacre's definition of symmetrised function of (Greenacre, 1973) in an inverse way, we respond to these two open problems with respect to singular and nonsingular cases. Furthermore, in another way to the method given in Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2007) and in Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2008a), we obtain the noncentral density functions of singular matrix variate beta type I(A),I(B), II(A) and II(B) distributions, from where, implicitly, we resolve the integral proposed by Constantine (1963), Khatri (1970) and reconsidered in (Farrell, 1985, p. 191), see also Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2007), in the singular case, and in the nonsingular one, of course, by simply taking $q = m$.

Acknowledgements

This research work was partially supported by CONACYT-Mexico, research grant 81512 and by IDI-Spain, grant MTM2005-09209. This paper was written during J. A. Díaz- García's stay as a visiting professor at the Department of Statistics and O. R. of the University of Granada, Spain.

References

Cadet, A. 1996. Polar coordinates in $\mathbf{R}^{np}$; Application to the computation of the Wishart and beta laws. Sankhyā A 58, 101–113.

Chikuse, Y. 1980. Invariant polynomials with matrix arguments and their applications. In: R. P. Gupta, (ed.) Multivariate Statistical Analysis. North-Holland Publishing Company, pp. 53–68.

Chikuse, Y. 1981. Distributions of some matrix variates and latent roots in multivariate Behrens-Fisher discriminant analysis. Ann. Statist. 9, 401–407.

Chikuse, Y., Davis, W. 1986. Some properties of invariant polynomials with matrix arguments and their applications in econometrics. Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. Part A. 38, 109–122.

Constantine, A. C. 1963. Noncentral distribution problems in multivariate analysis. Ann. Math. Statist. 34, 1270–1285.

Chikuse, Y., Davis, W. 1979. Invariant polynomials with two matrix arguments. Extending the zonal polynomials: Applications to multivariate distribution theory. Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. Part A. 31, 465–485.

Davis, A. W. 1980. Invariant polynomials with two matrix arguments, extending the zonal polynomials. In: P. R. Krishnaiah (ed.) Multivariate Analysis V. North-Holland Publishing Company, 287-299.

Díaz-García, J. A., 2006, Generalisations of some properties of invariant polynomials with matrix arguments. Comunicacin Técnica, No. I-06-15 (PE/CIMAT), Guanajuato, México. `http://www.cimat.mx/index.php?m=187`. Also submitted.

Díaz-García J. A., Gutiérrez-Jáimez, R., and K. V. Mardia, K. V., 1997. Wishart and Pseudo-Wishart distributions and some applications to shape theory. J. Multivariate Anal. 63, 73-87.

Díaz-García J. A., Gutiérrez-Jáimez, R., 1997. Proof of the conjectures of H. Uhlig on the singular multivariate beta and the jacobian of a certain matrix transformation, Ann. Statist. 25, 2018-2023.

Díaz-García, J. A. Gutiérrez-Jáimez, R., 2007. Noncentral, nonsingular matrix variate beta distribution. Brazilian J. Prob. Statist. 21, 175–186.

Díaz-García, J. A., Gutiérrez-Jáimez, R., 2008a, Singular matrix variate beta distribution. J. Multivariate Anal. 99, 637–648.

Díaz-García, J. A., Gutiérrez-Jáimez, R., 2008b, Doubly noncentral, nonsingular matrix variate beta distribution. J. Statist. Research Iran. In press.

Farrell, R. H., 1985. Multivariate Calculation: Use of the Continuous Groups. Springer Series in Statistics, Springer-Verlag, New York.

Goodall, C. R., Mardia, K. V. 1993. Multivariate Aspects of Shape Theory. Ann. Statist. 21, 848-866.

Greenacre, M. J. 1973. Symmetrized multivariate distributions. S. Afr. Statist. J. 7, 95–101.

Gupta, A. K., Nagar, D. K. 2000. Matrix variate distributions. Chapman & Hall/CR, New York.

James, A. T. 1964. Distributions of matrix variates and latent roots derived from normal samples. Ann. Math. Statist. 35, 475–501.

Khatri, C. G. 1970. A note on Mitra's paper "A density free approach to the matrix variate beta distribution". Sankhyā A 32, 311-318.

Kshirsagar, A. M. 1961. The non-central multivariate beta distribution. Ann. Math. Statist. 32, 104–111.

Muirhead, R. J. 1982. Aspects of Multivariate Statistical Theory. John Wiley & Sons, New York.

Olkin, I., Rubin, H. 1964. Multivariate beta distributions and independence properties of Wishart distribution. Ann. Math. Statist. 35, 261–269. Correction 1966, 37(1), 297.

Srivastava, S. M., Khatri, C. G. 1979. An Introduction to Multivariate Statistics. North Holland, New York.

Srivastava, S. M. 1968. On the distribution of a multiple correlation matrix: Non-central multivariate beta distributions. Ann. Math. Statist. 39, 227–232.

Roux, J. J. J. 1975. New families of multivariate distributions. In, G. P. Patil, S. Kotz, and J. K. Ord, (eds.) A modern course on tatistical distributions in scientific work. Volume I, Model and structures, D. Reidel, Dordrecht-Holland, 281–297.

Uhlig, H., 194. On singular Wishart and singular multivartiate beta distributions. Ann. Statistic. 22, 395-405.